

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/698,358 | 11/03/2003 | Kirk G. Scheckel | SCHECKELI | 1126 | |
| * * * * * | 7590 04/25/2007 D NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. | | EXAMINER | | |
| 624 NINTH ST | | | . BARRY, CHESTER T | | |
| SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | 1, 20 20001 0000 | | 1724 | - 10 | |
| | | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVER | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MO) | NTHS | 04/25/2007 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | 1 |
|---|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/698,358 | SCHECKEL ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Chester T. Barry | 1724 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESIGNATION OF THE MAILING | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a rep d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAI | ATION. ly be timely filed 4S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 f</u> This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under | is action is non-final. ance except for formal matter | |
| Disposition of Claims | Expano Quayio, 1000 O.B. | 11, 400 0.0. 210. |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-6,8-10 and 27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 11-25 is/are versions. Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-6,8-10,27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/size | vithdrawn from consideration | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be a compared to be a compared to be the Examin | cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s) | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. Its have been received in Apportity documents have been received in Apportity documents have been received. | olication No eceived in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/f | nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Art Unit: 1724

Claims 1 – 6, 8-10, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 1 is purported to "remov[e]" certain types of contaminants from water simply by contacting the contaminated water with an effective amount of a ruthenium compound, i.e., without any other simultaneous or subsequent processing steps, because the transition phrase "consisting of" is used. Although the specification enables the removal of certain contaminants out of **solution** by merely contacting the same with certain solid phase forms of ruthenium compounds (see [0119] line 2), the specification fails to enable "removal" of such contaminants from water altogether without at least a subsequent filtration step even when ruthenium oxide is the ruthenium compound. For want of recital in the claims of the step of separating the ruthenium compound from the treated water subsequent to the recited contacting step, the claimed invention is not enabled. Furthermore, the invention is not enabled for all ruthenium compounds, e.g., ruthenium metal, even though it is enabled for ruthenium oxides and bimetallic alloys comprising ruthenium. Further narrowing is required so that the claimed scope is enabled.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear whether Claim 27 should be interpreted as if it recited "contacting the water with an effective amount of . . . at least one member

Art Unit: 1724

selected from the group consisting of activated carbon" etc., or as if it recited "contacting the water with . . . at least one member selected from the group consisting of activated carbon." Separately, it is unclear what it means to contact water with either "sand filtration" or "reverse osmosis." If applicants instead intended to recite, "contacting the water with . . . at least one member selected from the group consisting of activated carbon, ion exchange media, ozone, and ultraviolet light, or at least one processing step selected from the group consisting of sand filtration and reverse osmosis," then applicants should consider amending the claim appropriately.

Claims 1 – 6, 8-10, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 5985790 to Moskovitz. Moskovitz describes removing contaminants from water by contacting the water with solid particles of ruthenium oxide col 4 lines 45, 58, alone or in combination with activated carbon col 5 line 12.

It is unclear why the claim listing filed 11/17/06 bears the footer "Copied from 10501987 on 11/27/06." To the extent that "10501987" refers to US Patent Application No. 10/501,987, the pending application and the cited application no not appear to be related. It is noted that the pending claims do not appear to have been copied from

Art Unit: 1724

10/501,987. A reduced facsimile of the lower half of page 7 filed 11/17/06 is shown below:

27. (New) A method for removing chemical and biological contaminants from water consisting of contacting the water with an effective amount of at least one ruthenium compound and at least one member selected from the group consisting of activated carbon, ion exchange media, ozone, ultraviolet light, sand filtration, and reverse osmosis.

Copied from 10501987 on 11/27/2006

Note the footer reference to 10501987 below the page number "7."

Chester T Barry

Examiner

571-272-1152